# UTAH AIR MONITORING NETWORK REVIEW 2003

Prepared by the Division of Air Quality

Utah State Department of Environmental Quality

# TABLE OF CONTENTS

1.0	Introduction
1.1	Current Utah Air Monitoring Network
1.2	Current Network Modification Issues
1.3	Review of Last Year Network Modifications
2.0	Utah Air Monitoring Network
2.1	Sulfur Dioxide
2.2	Nitrogen Dioxide
2.3	Carbon Monoxide
2.4	Ozone
2.5	Lead
2.6	$PM_{10}$
2.7	$PM_{2.5}$
2.8	Meteorological Data
2.9	Air Toxics
2.10	Emergency Episode Monitoring
3.0	Network Modification Forms
4.0	Summary and Conclusions
Appendix A	Emissions Inventory
Appendix B	Population Growth In Utah

Section	1.0
Revision_	11
Date 8/5/0	)3
Page 1	

### MONITORING NETWORK REVIEW

### 1.0 **INTRODUCTION**

The monitoring network has been described in the network reviews from 1982 through 2003. A complete description of each station is located in the station file at the Air Monitoring Center and is available upon request. This network review will focus on the adequacy of the existing network and the changes that are needed.

The existing or proposed monitoring stations are reviewed to see if the objectives are being met. The most recent emissions inventories for each pollutant are reviewed along with ambient data gathered in the area and, when available, a review of current computer air pollution dispersion modeling is also reviewed. The practicality of installing or maintaining a monitoring station at the current or proposed location is then reviewed with respect to the initial monitoring objectives, the available budget for monitoring, and the Division's monitoring priorities. A Network Modification Form is submitted to Region VIII of the Environmental Protection Agency prior to or as part of installing a new station. The network review process follows the requirements of 40 CFR 58.20(d).

### 1.1 CURRENT UTAH AIR MONITORING NETWORK

Table 1 lists the stations in Utah's current air monitoring network. The indicated location is the actual location address.

Under the listed parameters, a station may be designated NAMS = National Air Monitoring Station, SLAMS = State and Local Air Monitoring Station, or SPM = Special Purpose Monitor. The monitoring objectives (population exposure, source impact, highest expected concentration or background station) and the spacial scale of representativeness (micro, middle, neighborhood, urban or regional scales) are also designated.

Spacial scale of representativeness is described in terms of the physical dimensions of the air parcel surrounding an air monitoring station, throughout which pollutant concentrations are reasonably homogeneous. The scales of representativeness used for Utah's network are in the following scales, which describe concentrations in air volumes associated with area dimensions in the following ranges:

Micro Scale: Several meters to about 100 meters Middle Scale: About 100 meters to 0.5 kilometers

Neighborhood Scale: About 0.5 to 4.0 kilometers

Urban Scale: Overall citywide conditions, usually about 4.0 to 50 kilometers. Needs

more than one station to define

Defines a rural area, usually of reasonably homogeneous geography, extending for tens to hundreds of kilometers Regional Scale:

# Table 1 UTAH AIR MONITORING NETWORK

STA., LOC., ARIS#, SAROAD#	SO <sub>2</sub>	СО	$O_3$	NO <sub>2</sub>	LEAD	PM <sub>10</sub>	PM <sub>2.5</sub>
Beach #4	SLAMS		SLAMS*			•	
12100 West. 1200 S. GSL Beach Marina,	High		High				
Magna, UT	Neigh.		Neigh.				
49-035-2004 460900005FO2							
Bountiful #2	SLAMS	SLAMS	NAMS*	SLAMS			SLAMS
171 West 1370 North	Impact	Population	High	Population			Population
Bountiful, UT	Neigh.	Neigh.	Neigh.	Neigh.			Neigh.
49-011-0001 460060001F01							
Brigham City			SLAMS				SPMS
140 West Fishburn Dr			Population				Population
Brigham City, UT			Neigh.				Neighbor
49-033-0003							
Cottonwood, 5715 South 1400 East		NAMS	NAMS*	SLAMS		NAMS	SLAMS
Behind School, Holladay, UT		Population	Population	High		Population	Population
49-035-0003 4600003F01		Neigh	Neigh.	Neigh.		Neigh.	Neigh.
Hawthorne		SLAMS*	SLAMS*	SLAMS		SLAMS	SLAMS
1675 South 600 East		High	High	High		High	Population
Salt Lake City, UT		Neigh.	Neigh.	Neigh.		Neigh.	Middle
49-035-3006							
Harrisville			SLAMS				SLAMS
405 West 2550 North			Population				Background
Ogden, UT			Neigh.				Reg
49-057-1003							
Herriman			SLAMS*				SPMS
5600 West 12885 South			High				Background
Herriman, UT			Neigh.				Reg
49-035-3003							

<sup>\*</sup>Indicates Seasonal Monitoring
\*\*Should be re-designated to NAMS

Table 1 UTAH AIR MONITORING NETWORK

STA., LOC., ARIS#, SAROAD#	SO <sub>2</sub>	СО	$O_3$	NO <sub>2</sub>	LEAD	PM <sub>10</sub>	PM <sub>2.5</sub>
Highland			NAMS*				SPMS
10865 North 6000 West			High				Population
Highland, UT			Neigh.				Neigh.
49-049-5008							
Lindon						NAMS	SLAMS
30 North Main, Behind School						Impact	Population
Lindon, UT						Neigh.	Neigh.
49-049-4001 461220001F01							
Logan		SLAMS*	SLAMS*			SLAMS	SPMS
125 West Center Street		Pop	Pop			High	Population
Logan, UT		Neigh.	Neigh.			Neigh.	Neigh.
49-005-0002							
Magna	NAMS				SLAMS	NAMS	SPMS
2935 South 8560 West, On School	Impact				Impact	High	Population
Magna, UT	Neigh.				Neigh.	Neigh.	Neigh
49-035-1001 460520001F02							
North Provo		SLAMS*	NAMS*	SLAMS		NAMS	SLAMS
1355 North 200 West		Population	Population	High		Population	Population
Behind Armory Provo, UT		Neigh.	Neigh.	Neigh.		Neigh.	Neigh.
49-049-0002 460800002F01							
North Salt Lake #2	SLAMS**					NAMS	SPMS
1795 North 1000 West	High					High	High
Salt Lake City, UT	Middle					Middle	Middle
49-035-0012 460920012F02						Co-Loc	

<sup>\*</sup>Indicates Seasonal Monitoring
\*\* Should be re-designated to NAMS

Section 1.1
Revision 4
Date 8/27/03
Page 3

# TABLE 1 UTAH AIR MONITORING NETWORK

STA., LOC., ARIS#, SAROAD#	SO <sub>2</sub>	СО	$O_3$	NO <sub>2</sub>	LEAD	PM <sub>10</sub>	PM <sub>2.5</sub>
Ogden #2				SLAMS		SLAMS	SLAMS
228 E 32 <sup>nd</sup> Street				High		High	High
Ogden UT				Neigh.		Neigh.	Neigh.
49-057-0002							
Spanish Fork			SLAMS*				SPMS
Spanish Fork/Springville Airport			Population				Transport
49-049-5010			Neigh.				Regional
State Street #3		NAMS					
1400 South State Street		High					
Salt Lake City, UT		Micro					
49-035-0014							
University Avenue #3		SLAMS					
363 North University Avenue		High					
Provo, UT		Micro					
49-049-0005							
Washington Blvd. #2		SLAMS					
2540 South Washington Blvd,		High					
In Office Bldg. Ogden, UT		Micro					
49-057-0006							
Washington Terrace		SLAMS*	NAMS*				SPMS
4601 South 300 West		Pop	Population				Population
Washington Terrace, UT		Neigh.	Neigh.				Neigh.
49-057-0007							
West Valley		SLAMS*	SLAMS*				SLAMS
3100 South 3275 West		Population	Population				Population
West Valley City, UT		Neigh.	Neigh.				Neigh.
49-035-3007							

<sup>\*</sup>Indicates Seasonal Monitoring \*\* Should be re-designated NAMS

# **UTAH DIVISION OF AIR QUALITY**

# OFFICIAL AND SPECIAL STUDIES MONITORING NETWORK SUMMARY AUGUST 2003

 $\begin{array}{c} \text{Section}\underline{\quad 1.1} \\ \text{Revision}\underline{\quad 8} \\ \text{Date} \ \underline{8/27/03} \\ \text{Page} \ \underline{4} \end{array}$ 

	SITE CODE	TELEMETRY	PM 2.5	#PM2.5	PM10	#PM10	CO	03	SO2	NO2	SPAN SOURCE	WIND	TEMP/RH	SR/BP*	SG/DT/PRE*	LEAD	AQI
ANTELOPE ISLAND	AI	CAMPBELL										YES	TEMP&RH		SIGMA ONLY		
BADGER ISLAND	BI	CAMPBELL										YES	TEMP&RH		PRECIP. ONLY		
ВЕАСН	B4	ESC						*SEASONAL/API	TECO		DYNACAL/API	YES	TEMP		SIGMA ONLY		
BOUNTIFUL	BT	ESC	3 DAY & CL	2			*SEASONAL/TECO	*SEASONAL/DASIBI	TECO	TECO	DYNACAL/DASIBI/CYLINDER	YES	TEMP		SIGMA ONLY		SO2/CO/O3
BRIGHAM CITY	BR	ESC	3 DAY	1				*SEASONAL/API			DASIBI	YES	TEMP		SIGMA ONLY		
COTTONWOOD	CW	ESC	3 DAY	1	3 DAY	1	TECO	*SEASONAL/DASIBI		TECO	DYNACAL/DASIBI/CYLINDER	YES	TEMP&RH		SIGMA ONLY		O3/CO
HARRISVILLE	HV	ESC	3 DAY	1				*SEASONAL/DASIBI			DASIBI	YES	TEMP		SIGMA ONLY		
HAWTHORNE	HW	ESC	TEOM & E D	2	TEOM & E D	2	*SEASONAL/TECO	*SEASONAL/DASIBI		TECO	DYNACAL/API/CYLINDER	YES	TEMP&RH	SR & BP			TEOM (2.5&10) O3/CO
HERRIMAN	HE	ESC	3 DAY	1				*SEASONAL/DASIBI			DASIBI	YES	TEMP&RH	SOLAR	SIGMA & DT		
HIGHLAND	HG	ESC	3 DAY	1				*SEASONAL/DASIBI			DASIBI	YES	TEMP		SIGMA ONLY		
LINDON	LN	ESC	TEOM/CL/ED	3	TEOM & E D	2					N/A	YES	TEMP&RH		SIGMA ONLY		TEOM (PM10/2.5)
LOGAN	L4	ESC	TEOM/3 DAY	2	3 DAY	1	*SEASONAL/TECO	*SEASONAL/DASIBI	TECO	TECO	DASIBI/CYLINDER	YES	TEMP		SIGMA ONLY		TEOM (PM2.5)CO/O3
MAGNA	MG	ESC	3 Day		3 DAY	1			TECO		DYNACAL	YES	TEMP		SIGMA ONLY	*HV/CL	SO2
NORTH PROVO	NP	ESC	3 DAY	1	3 DAY & CL	2	*SEASONAL/TECO	*SEASONAL/DASIBI		TECO	DYNACAL/DASIBI/CYLINDER	YES	TEMP		SIGMA ONLY		O3/CO
N. SALT LAKE	N2	ESC	3 DAY	1	E/D &CL	2			TECO		DYNACAL	N/A					SO2
OGDEN #2	O2	ESC	TEOM/3 DAY	2	TEOM/ED	2				TECO	DYNACAL						TEOM (PM10/2.5)
SALTAIRE	SA	CAMPBELL										YES	TEMP&RH	SOLAR	SIGMA ONLY		
1400 S. STATE	S3	ESC					TECO				CYLINDER	N/A					со
SPANISH FORK	SF	ESC	3 DAY	1				*SEASONAL/API			API	YES	TEMP		SIGMA ONLY		
SYRACUSE	SY	CAMPBELL										YES	TEMP&RH		SIGMA ONLY		
UNIVERSITY AVE.	U3	ESC					TECO				CYLINDER	N/A					со
WASH, BLVD	W2	ESC					TECO				CYLINDER	N/A					со
WASH. TERR.	WT	ESC	3 DAY & CL	2			*SEASONAL/TECO	*SEASONAL/DASIBI			DASIBI/CYLINDER	YES	TEMP&RH		SIGMA ONLY		O3/CO
WEST VALLEY	wv	ESC	3 DAY & CL	2			*SEASONAL/TECO	*SEASONAL/DASIBI			DASIBI/CYLINDER	YES	TEMP				
WEST JORDAN	WJ	ESC										YES	TEMP&RH				
SITES	29	29	16		8		9	13	5	6		20	20	3	17	1	12
REPORTING SMPLRS.				24		11										1	
CO-LOC SMPLRS.				4		2										1	
SEASONAL SMPLRS.							7	13									
TEOM (PM 2.5 & 10)				4		3											
ISDM SDECIAL DIT	DDOGE MONE	TOD	1		4.6	TEACON!	I TEGO COLI	ECT CO DUDING		OF A GC	NI AIOU MARY	CD /DD*	SOI AD DADIA'	ELONI 0 E	ADOMETRIC	DDECCLI	VE.

!SPM - SPECIAL PURPOSE MONITOR

\*SEASONAL TECO - COLLECT CO DURING WINTER SEASON (NOV-MAR)

ESC - DATA LOGGER

\*SEASONAL DASIBI – COLLECT O3 DURING SUMMER SEASON(MAY-SEPT)

\*EOD - EVERY OTHER DAY SAMPLING

\*ED - EVERY DAY SAMPLING

SR/BP\* - SOLAR RADIATION & BAROMETRIC PRESSURE

C/L - CO-LOCATED

H/V – HIGH VOLUME SAMPLER

'SG/DT/PRE\*-SIGMA-THETA, DIFFERENTIAL TEMP. & PRECIPITATION

### **CURRENT NETWORK MODIFICATION ISSUES:**

The following modifications to the monitoring network are anticipated during the next year.

### Decrease in State general fund

The State of Utah has experienced severe budget shortfalls the past three fiscal years. In response to the budget shortfalls, the 2003 legislative session decreased the state general fund allocation to the Department of Environmental Quality by \$250,000. The Division of Air Quality's ambient air monitoring network was initially identified to reduce current expense expenditures to accommodate the \$250,000 decrease. It has since been determined that the monitoring network cannot sustain that size decrease and meet our ambient monitoring objectives.

The following table shows the anticipated changes in the monitoring network, the impact to the public and the associated budget savings.

### **BUDGET SAVINGS ACTIONS**

SITE/TASK	SAVINGS	PUBLIC IMPACT	CLOSURE DATE
SODAR	\$ 6,499	Information critical to PM10 & ozone modeling	September 03
Grantsville*	\$ 15,698	There will be no HCL monitoring around US Magnesium	July 03
Moab	\$ 3,197	Eliminates only rural PM10 monitor in this part of the state near all the parks.	July 03
South Orem	\$ 4,877	Eliminates CO Trend date for Orem	July 03
Washington Terrace*	\$ 12,724	AQI-Summer ozone	October 03
Promontory Point	\$ 4,454	Eliminates critical wind field data on north end of Great Salt Lake	July 03
Bountiful CO	\$ 700	AQI – CO report to public, Air Toxics input, PM2.5 precursor.	July 03
Hawthorne CO	\$ 700	AQI – CO report to public	July 03
Washington Blvd	\$ 7,050	AQI – CO report to public and CO trends for downtown Ogden	March 04
State Street	\$ 5,097	AQI – CO report to public and CO trends for downtown Salt Lake City	March 04
Beach	\$ 8,441	Eliminates SO2 impact evaluation on boats on the lake – historically high ozone monitor	October 03
Salt Air	\$ 500	Reduces wind field analysis	October 03
Install Radio Telemetry	\$ 10,000		Being evaluated
Sampling & Chemical Speciation	\$ 4,000	Eliminates sampling & analysis in response to public complaints and emergency response situations	July 03
TOTAL	\$82,684	1 6 1 1 102 6	

\*PM2.5 monitoring site. 100% funded by federal grant; to keep 103 Grant money, these monitors must be operated at some location meeting EPA's requirements.

Arrangements must be made to store the monitoring trailers at some location. There is not room at the AMC.

Data from Washington Terrace (all pollutants), Bountiful (CO only), Hawthorne (CO only), Washington Blvd. (CO only), State Street (CO only) and Beach (ozone only) are included in the Air Quality Index to the public on recorded message, on the AMC Homepage and by Fax to the media. These closures will reduce the information available

Some of the actions shown on the table are still being evaluated for actual cost savings potential so the final table may change.

Section 1.2 Revision 7 Date8/5/03 Page 2

### Response to EPA Issue or Focus

EPA has identified an increased focus on monitoring non-criteria pollutants. In so doing, EPA is reallocating \$6.3 million from existing funds for measuring criteria pollutants to increased monitoring of Air Toxics. The impact on UDAQ is that less monitoring for criteria pollutants will be performed and monitoring for air toxics will increase. As EPA changes the monitoring requirements in 40 CFR Part 58 and implements the National Monitoring Policy, the DAQ monitoring efforts will change. What changes will occur will be determined at that time.

### Response to New or Proposed NAAQS

No new National Ambient Air Quality Standards (NAAQS) have been promulgated.

### DAQ Identified Data Needs

High PM2.5 measurements in Logan indicate daily sampling should be performed. In addition, nitrogen oxide and sulfur dioxide information is necessary in Logan to help characterize PM2.5 precursors. The information will also be necessary in the event computer modeling is necessary for the Logan area.

### Population Growth

Utah has experienced significant population growth over the past 15 years. A table showing the growth rate is attached as Appendix B. New housing starts indicate the growth rate has even continued over the past 3 years. Changes to the monitoring network the past couple of years have addressed most of the population growth. Draper City in southeast Salt Lake County has grown the most since the 1990 census with a growth rate of 248%. That significant growth results in Draper City being the eighteenth largest city in Utah. Draper City is part of the Salt Lake-Ogden Metropolitan Area. Air pollution in the metropolitan area has been addressed for many years. New growth information will be evaluated to see if additional data are necessary.

# **PSD Increment Tracking**

Normally PSD increments are tracked with computer models. The models show all the increment for NO<sub>2</sub> is consumed in Carbon County around the city of Cleveland. The concern is that the NAAQS for NO<sub>2</sub> may be violated. Ambient air monitoring for NO<sub>2</sub> is needed in or near Cleveland to verify the model and to determine the status of the NAAQS. Current funding will not support monitoring in Cleveland. Otherwise, pre and post PSD monitoring is required as part of the PSD approval process.

### Modifications to Meteorological Monitoring Because of Computer Modeling Needs

Computer modeling is a very important part of evaluating air pollution impacts and the results of control strategies and control measures. Meteorological data is necessary to the computer modeling. The Syracuse wind tower site is not safe due to uneven terrain and holes caused by seeping water. A new safer site needs to be obtained and the site moved.

A replacement site for the West Jordan wind tower is also necessary to provide adequate coverage for complex computer modeling.

- 1. Install the West Jordan wind tower at the Utah Army National Guard Armory by airport number two.
- 2. Find a replacement site for the Syracuse wind tower.

## 1.3 REVIEW OF LAST YEAR NETWORK MODIFICATIONS

- 1. The Urban Air Toxics Monitor was moved from the West Valley site to the Bountiful site.
- 2. An athelometer was installed at the Bountiful site associated with the Urban Air Toxics monitoring.
- 3. A PM10 sampler was installed at the Bountiful monitoring site to measure heavy metals as part of the Urban Air Toxics program.
- 4. Gaseous SO2 and NO2/Nox data collection was started in Logan to provide information for secondary particulate formation for the high PM2.5 measured in Logan.

Section_	2.1
Revision _	15
Date <u>8/</u>	5/03
Page	1

### 2.1 SULFUR DIOXIDE

The sulfur dioxide (SO<sub>2</sub>) monitoring sites were installed at their present locations based on the emissions inventory and early computer modeling. Siting has also occurred in response to concerns expressed by the public. Computer modeling was performed as part of the SO<sub>2</sub> State Implementation Plan in the 1980's. No more recent computer modeling has been completed. EPA Region VIII has offered to perform computer modeling, but that effort has not been completed. The results of the 1980's modeling show areas of expected high SO<sub>2</sub> concentrations at Magna, in Salt Lake County and the area of North Beck Street in Salt Lake County. The Magna and North Salt Lake SO<sub>2</sub> Monitoring sites were installed in response to that computer modeling. Current modeling show a potential for elevated SO<sub>2</sub> concentrations on the bench areas of Bountiful City. The modeling results are continuing to be reviewed.

### SO<sub>2</sub> NETWORK

### Salt Lake County

There are three types of major  $SO_2$  sources in Salt Lake County. They are process industries, refineries and electric power generation. The impact of each of these sources is measured by the existing monitoring stations. The monitoring stations located at Magna, North Salt Lake and at the Great Salt Lake Beach State Park are meeting our needs and objectives.

### **Davis County**

The largest SO<sub>2</sub> sources in Davis County are oil refineries. In recent years the crude oil being processed by the oil refineries has become increasingly more sour, so the refineries have installed sulfur scrubbing systems to reduce their SO2 emissions. Their emissions are adequately monitored by the North Salt Lake Station near the Salt Lake County-Davis County border. The Bountiful monitoring station in Davis County is population oriented. It has been in operation for years and will continue to operate to evaluate population exposure. In the distant past, relatively high SO<sub>2</sub> concentrations have been measured at this station. It is the only SO<sub>2</sub> monitoring station in Davis County and is meeting DAQ needs and objectives.

### **Existing Monitoring Network**

The existing SO2 monitoring network presently meets the federal requirements and most of existing State needs.

### Additional Monitoring

New monitoring for SO<sub>2</sub> was initiated in Logan to help identify SO<sub>2</sub> precursors for PM2.5. The information will be necessary if computer modeling becomes necessary for the Logan area.

### **Special Studies**

Additional computer modeling needs to be completed using current emissions inventory to determine if the areas of maximum concentrations are still at the same locations.

### Changes To The SO2 Monitoring Network

In response to budget cuts the SO2 monitoring at the Beach site will end in October 2003.

Revision 13 Date 8/5/03 Page 1

### 2.2 <u>NITROGEN DIOXIDE</u>

The existing NO<sub>2</sub> monitoring stations were installed at their current locations based on a combination of emissions inventory and population centers. The sites were installed in response to NO<sub>x</sub> emissions from automobiles and NO<sub>x</sub> 's involvement in the photochemical reaction that produces ozone. Based on that criteria, the sites were located in the center of the major urban areas. EPA's guidance that monitoring should be performed in areas with a population of 200,000 or greater was considered. Even though NO<sub>x</sub> monitors are located in cities with less than populations of 200,000, the urban areas have populations over 200,000. The sites were also selected based on the ability to group several different analyzers into one station. Appendix A lists both the point source and area source emissions of NO<sub>x</sub> for the counties with the highest emissions. The information shows that 21% of NO<sub>x</sub> emissions come from automobiles and 65% comes from point source process industries. Sixty percent of point source NO<sub>x</sub> emissions are associated with power plants. They are located in rural southern Utah areas and have received Prevention of Significant Deterioration permits. They have also performed post construction monitoring, verifying that the NAAQS is not violated. Additional monitoring is necessary at Cleveland to determine PSD impacts. There also may be a potential need for additional NO<sub>2</sub> monitoring to help understand the formation of secondary PM10 and PM2.5 particulate matter. This need will be discussed in the future.

The oxidation of NO to NO<sub>2</sub> takes time, therefore, the highest NO<sub>2</sub> concentrations should be located some distance downwind from major NO sources. The ideal location for NO<sub>2</sub> monitors is at the edge of an urban area.

### NO<sub>2</sub> NETWORK

### **Existing Monitoring Network**

The existing NO<sub>2</sub> monitoring stations are Ogden, North Provo, Bountiful, Hawthorne, and Cottonwood. The network is meeting the needs and objectives of DAQ.

### **Additional Monitoring**

New monitoring for NO<sub>2</sub> was initiated in Logan to help identify NO<sub>2</sub> precursors for PM2.5. The information will be necessary if computer modeling becomes necessary for the Logan area.

Additional monitoring is necessary at Cleveland to determine PSD impacts. There also may be a potential need for additional NO<sub>2</sub> monitoring to help understand the formation of secondary PM10 and PM2.5 particulate matter. This need will be discussed in the future.

### **Special Studies**

No additional studies are necessary.

### Changes To The NO2 Monitoring Network

The addition of NO2 monitoring in Logan is the only change planned for the NO2 monitoring network.

### 2.3 CARBON MONOXIDE

The present CO monitoring sites were installed based on emissions from automobiles. Based on that criteria, the sites were located according to traffic patterns and traffic densities. The traffic information used was obtained from the Utah Department of Transportation. The emissions inventory in Appendix A indicates the amount of CO emissions from different sources in the Wasatch Front. Eighty-five percent (85%) of the carbon monoxide emitted is generated by vehicles.

When Utah's CO network was designed, no modeling data was available to assist in site location, so sites were chosen based on traffic volumes and patterns. Since that time, SIP modeling has been done for the Salt Lake-Davis County area and for the Provo-Orem area in Utah County. Models used under predicted the CO concentrations measured at all of the monitoring sites. The models give a rough estimate of the relative concentrations of CO, which indicates areas of expected maximum CO concentrations. The models verify the original site selections and indicate that existing CO monitoring stations are appropriately located.

In 1992, a CO Saturation Study was performed. The results of the study indicate the monitoring sites were not at the point of maximum CO concentrations. One of the highest sites identified was near the intersection of 1300 South and State Street in Salt Lake City. Sites with high CO concentrations were also identified along 700 East Street near the 2100 South intersection, 3300 South and 3900 South intersections. The 1400 South State Street microscale CO site was installed as a result of the saturation study. The CO monitoring at the Hawthorne Elementary School is an area the CO saturation study showed to be a high area and is near the central business district.

### **Bag Sampling**

No bag sampling is planned for next year.

### CO NETWORK

The existing Network CO monitoring stations that operate all year are: Cottonwood, State Street, Washington Blvd., and University Avenue. The CO Monitoring stations that operate seasonally are: Bountiful, Hawthorne, Logan, North Provo, South Orem, Washington Terrace and West Valley. This network presently meets the needs and objectives of DAQ.

# **Additional Monitoring**

As Appendix D shows, the population is increasing rapidly in the south end of Salt Lake Valley. This identifies a need to conduct CO monitoring in this area. A site should be selected in the Sandy/Draper area to measure CO.

Section 2.3 Revision 14 Date 8/5/03 Page 2

# 2.3 Carbon Monoxide (Continued)

# Changes To The CO Monitoring Network

In response to the budget shortfall CO monitoring will end in Bountiful, South Orem, Washington Blvd. and State Street. EPA commented CO monitoring needs to continue in Hawthorne to meet CO SIP requirements.

# **Special Studies**

No special studies are planned.

# **Saturation Study**

No additional saturation studies are being considered at this time.

 Section
 2.4

 Revision
 16

 Date
 8/5/03

 Page
 1

### 2.4 OZONE

Unlike the other pollutants, ozone is not emitted directly into the atmosphere. It is produced in the atmosphere as precursors, nitrogen oxides and hydrocarbons, and reacts in the presence of sunlight to form a number of photochemical compounds. The photochemical reaction takes time to occur; therefore, ozone monitoring should be conducted down wind from the sources of precursors.

The valley setting of the major urban areas along the Wasatch front complicates ozone monitoring. Typical ozone monitoring indicates that the peak ozone stations should be located 5 to 7 hours down wind from the urban area. Summer wind patterns in Utah result in a typical diurnal up valley down valley wind flow. This situation suggests that after 5 to 7 hours the polluted air mass may be right back over the urban area.

Ozone concentrations at all Division of Air Quality monitoring sites fluctuate seasonally, with higher values measured only during the warmer months. Monitoring at all ozone stations in attainment areas is therefore done seasonally, from May through September.

### One and Eight Hour NAAQS

Two time periods have been identified by EPA for evaluating ozone, a one hour average and an eight hour average. The existing monitoring sites are located where the highest hourly ozone concentrations occur. We anticipate the highest 8-hour averages will occur at the same locations. Experience may modify that opinion. The 8 hour NAAQS for ozone does not specifically require any new monitoring sites. The impact of the 8 hour standard has been exceedances at stations in more rural locations that did not exceed the 1 hour standard.

### **Existing Network**

The existing monitoring network for ozone consists of twelve monitoring sites located primarily in the populated counties along the Wasatch Front. As noted below, this network is meeting most but not all of the data needs for ozone.

### **Special Studies**

No special studies have been conducted since the summer of 1996. None are planned for this next year.

### Additional Monitoring

An additional ozone monitoring station needs to be installed in the east side of the Sandy/Draper area. EPA has suggested that ozone concentrations may be higher in the southeast part of Salt Lake Valley when the afternoon lake breeze pushes the polluted air mass from Salt Lake City into this part of the valley. The mountains partially trap the air mass,

allowing the ozone concentrations to build up. Site selection has not identified an acceptable site. Install a new ozone monitoring site in the Sandy/Draper area.

### Additional Saturation Studies.

No additional studies are planned.

### Changes To The O3 Monitoring Network

In response to the budget decrease the Beach and Washington Terrace ozone monitoring stations will be placed on standby. When funding is available the monitoring will begin again.

There is concern about ozone in the St. George area. Ozone monitoring performed prior to the promulgation of the 8-hour ozone standard indicates a concern when coupled with the population growth in the St. George area. DAQ anticipates conducting ozone monitoring in St. George beginning the summer of 2004.

Revision 12
Date 7/25/02
Page 1

### 2.5 LEAD

Utah has established a SLAMS lead sampler using the regulatory guidelines in 40 CFR Part 58 Appendix D. The station is on a six-day sampling schedule.

### LEAD NETWORK

# **Existing Monitoring Network**

Presently, lead monitoring is being performed at the Magna air monitoring station. The Magna sampler is near an industrial source that emits 22 tons of lead per year. Most of the measurements made over the past 5 years have been below the detection limits of the measurement method. Lead monitoring, in reality, is now only necessary near industrial lead sources which emit 5 tons or more of lead a year to the atmosphere. There is only one industrial source in Utah that emits more than 5 tons or more of lead a year.

# **Additional Monitoring**

No additional lead monitoring sites will be installed.

### 2.6 <u>PM10</u>

The  $PM_{10}$  samplers were initially installed at the same sites as the Total Suspended Particulate samplers. TSP monitoring had been performed for many years at those locations and has shown many violations of the TSP standard. Computer modeling was not available to assist in locating the  $PM_{10}$  samplers, but has now been completed for the  $PM_{10}$  SIP. The modeling primarily dealt with source impact identification. There are two types of  $PM_{10}$  particles, which complicates  $PM_{10}$  monitoring. Primary  $PM_{10}$  particles are released from the source as particles and their concentration decreases from the point of release dependent on dispersion characteristics. Secondary particles are released as gases and become  $PM_{10}$  particles through chemical reactions in the atmosphere. Secondary particle concentrations are greater some distance from the source or after some time has elapsed from the time of release. Measured  $PM_{10}$  concentrations are a combination of both primary and secondary particles. Establishing monitoring sites to measure both types of particles can be a concern. Historically TSP and  $PM_{10}$  sites have been located based on primary particulates.

### Existing Monitoring Network (See Table 1)

The existing  $PM_{10}$  monitoring network meets the minimum requirements for  $PM_{10}$  data for state and federal government needs. The existing network is not keeping pace with population growth.

### **Additional Monitoring**

No additional PM<sub>10</sub> monitoring is necessary at this time.

### **Saturation Studies**

No saturation studies are planned for the next year.

### **Special Studies**

No special studies are planned for the next year.

### Changes To The PM10 Monitoring Network

With the budget shortfall PM10 monitoring will end at the Moab monitoring site in July 2003.

Section 2.7 Revision 3 Date 8/25/03 Page 1

### PM2.5

On July 18, 1997, the Environmental Protection Agency promulgated a NAAQS for particulate matter measured as PM<sub>2.5</sub>. Particulate sampling has been conducted first for TSP and then PM<sub>10</sub> at several locations in each county. In addition, computer modeling for TSP and  $PM_{10}$  and some limited PM<sub>10</sub> saturation sampling have shown the existing particulate sampling sites are located in the areas of high concentrations for particulates. Previous particulate monitoring has also shown the existing locations to have elevated particulate concentrations. There are two types of particles that form PM<sub>2.5</sub> particles. Primary PM<sub>2.5</sub> particles are released from the source as particles and their concentration decreases from the point of release dependent on dispersion characteristics. Secondary particles are released as gases and become PM<sub>2.5</sub> particles through chemical reactions in the atmosphere. Secondary particle concentrations are greater some distance from the source or after some time has elapsed from the time of release. Measured PM<sub>2.5</sub> concentrations are a combination of both primary and secondary particles. Establishing monitoring sites to measure both types of particles can be a concern. Historically TSP, PM<sub>10</sub> sites have been located based on primary particulates. Initially PM<sub>2.5</sub> will be located based on concentrations of PM<sub>10</sub>. The following table shows the locations and installation dates for the PM<sub>2.5</sub> network. IMPROVE samplers are operated by the National Park Service and are included as part of the PM<sub>2.5</sub> monitoring network. The IMPROVE samplers are located in the National Parks in Utah.

Section <u>2.7</u>
Revision <u>2</u>
Date <u>7/25/02</u>
Page <u>2</u>

# PM<sub>2.5</sub> MONITORING NETWORK:

	PM2.5 Network for 2002-2003								
	Name of Site	AIRS ID	Type of Site	Type of Sampler	Sampling Frequency	Collocation	Speciation		
1	West Valley	49-035-3007	Core- population>500,000	Sequential	1 in 3 CY99	FY99	FY99		
2	Ogden	49-057-0001	Core- population>500,000	Sequential	1 in 3 CY99		No		
3	Hawthorne	49-035-3006	Core- population MSA>1,000,000	Sequential	Daily/Continuous	FY98	No		
4	North Provo	49-049-0002	Core- population MSA 200K-500K	Sequential	1 in 3		FY99		
5	Lindon	49-049-4001	Non-core-population MSA 200K	Sequential	Daily CY99	FY99	FY99		
6	Grantsville	49-045-0002	Non-core-background/transport	Sequential	1 in 3		No		
7	Spanish Fork	49-049-5010	Non-core-background/transport	Sequential	1 in 3		No		
8	North Salt Lake	49-035-0012	Supplemt-high population & emis.	Sequential WS	1 in 3		No		
9	Cottonwood	49-035-0003	Supplemt-discretionary	Sequential WS	1 in 3		No		
10	Bountiful	49-011-0001	Supplemt-discretionary	Manual WS	1 in 3		No		
11	Washington Terrace	49-057-0007	Supplemt-discretionary	Prototype R&P	1 in 3		No		
12	Logan	49-005-0002	Supplemt-discretionary	Manual EMAD	1 in 3		No		
13	Highland	49-049-5008	Supplemt-discretionary	Prototype R&P	1 in 3		No		
14	Brigham City	49-003-0003	Supplemt-discretionary	Prototype R&P	1 in 3		No		
15	Harrisville	49-057-1003	Supplemt-discretionary	Manual WS	1 in 3		No		
16	Herriman	49-035-3003	Supplemt-discretionary	Prototype R&P	1 in 3		No		
17	Bryce Canyon	Improve	Improve-reg haze/transport/bckgrnd	Improve	1 in 3		Yes		
18	Canyon Lands	Improve	Improve-reg haze/transport/bckgrnd	Improve	1 in 3		Yes		
19	Capitol Reef	Improve	Improve-reg haze/transport/bckgrnd	Improve	1 in 3		Yes		
20	Zion	Improve	Improve-reg haze/transport.bckgrnd	Improve	1 in 3		Yes		

Section 2.7 Revision 3 Date 8/25/03 Page 1

## EXISTING PM2.5 MONITORING NETWORK

The existing PM2.5 monitoring network is adequate and meets the needs of DAQ and EPA.

### ADDITIONAL STUDIES

No special or additional studies are planned.

### Changes To The PM2.5 Monitoring Network

With the budget shortfall PM2.5 monitoring will continue with 16 sites but some locations will be changed. The Grantsville PM2.5 sampler will be relocated to the Magna monitoring site in July 2003. The PM2.5 sampler operating at the Washington Terrace site will be shut down in January 2004 pending additional funds to maintain the monitoring station. A PM2.5 sampler began operation at the new St. George monitoring site in October 2003.

### 2.8 METEOROLOGICAL DATA

Page 1

By measuring surface wind speed and direction, one can attempt to determine where a pollutant-laden air mass has come from and where it is going. This information is essential any time an attempt is made to determine the cause of high pollution periods.

The wind patterns in the mountainous terrain of Utah can be very difficult to analyze. Winds affected by geographical features can, and often do, control air mass movement in the mountain valleys where most industrial and urban activities are concentrated.

Because of these complex wind patterns, it has been the policy of the Division of Air Quality that many major air monitoring stations of middle scale or larger should record meteorological data. Each station must be evaluated separately because of the complex micrometeorology in Utah. Because the terrain produces the complex wind patterns, there are not enough monitoring sites that measure meteorological parameters.

### **Existing Monitoring** (See Network Summary Table)

The importance of measuring meteorological parameters has increased as a result of more complex computer modeling. Modifications to the meteorological monitoring network have occurred as a result of a report prepared by the Technical Analysis Section. A computer model called Urban Airshed Model requires an extensive amount of meteorological information. Some sites have been discontinued because they were redundant to other sites; and new sites have been installed in locations where no data were available.

### **Additional Monitoring**

Additional meteorological monitoring was identified earlier and is restated here. The need is based on the report prepared by the Technical Analysis Section. The Technical Analysis Section has also identified a need for additional meteorological monitoring for the CMB computer model.

- 1. The wind tower at the Bountiful monitoring station was relocated to the new Bountiful monitoring site. The new site better meets the siting criteria for computer modeling.
- 2. Replacement of the West Jordan wind tower has been started. A new site has been obtained near the old location near airport number 2. This site will allow continued characterization of the wind patterns in the central part of the Salt Lake Valley.
- 3. Relocate the Syracuse wind tower to a safer location.

### Changes To The Meteorological Monitoring Network

Several changes will occur in the meteorological monitoring network because of the budget short fall. Although the data collected is not in the conventional wind speed and direction format, the SODAR collects upper air wind data. The SODAR will be closed after September 2003. The meteorological site at Grantsville and Promontory Point will be closed in July 2003. The meteorological site at the Beach site and the Salt Air site will be closed in January 2004. The meteorological site at Washington Terrace will be closed in January 2004.

Section 2.9
Revision 9
Date 8/25/03
Page 1

### 2.9 AIR TOXICS

The category of toxic air pollutants encompasses literally thousands of different compounds, including organic and inorganic particulate compounds and volatile and semi-volatile organic compounds. It would be an impossible task to monitor for every known toxic compound. The list of known toxic compounds is growing, with dozens of compounds being added yearly.

The Clean Air Act of 1990 identified 189 toxic air pollutants, which are now the immediate focus of the toxic monitoring program. That list has since been modified to 188 Toxic Air Pollutants. EPA has chosen 33 toxic air pollutants to focus on in its Integrated Urban Air Toxics Strategy.

# **Sampling Locations**

Specific sources of toxic pollutants have been identified using SARA 313 information and a toxic air pollution survey conducted by Radian for the Division. Toxic monitoring at these sources was not isolated for the initial sampling phase of the program; rather a general survey of the air contaminants was initiated. Monitoring near specific sources is being performed based on identified need. Historic sampling has been performed at Salt Lake City station, Lindon, and North Provo. Sampling systems are periodically colocated to establish repeatability between systems. Selected samples are re-analyzed to verify the analytical system. If the program allows, the sampling schedule will be modified to obtain more samples. The sampling effort has been reduced and now focuses on hydrogen chloride and chlorine monitoring in Tooele County and responding to complaints. An air toxics sampler has been installed in the West Valley Station. It is part of the national air toxics monitoring effort.

### **Existing monitoring**

In January 1997, a continuous chlorine analyzer was installed in the Grantsville monitoring station. The continuous chlorine analyzer augments the sampling for HCl in Grantsville using manual collection methods. In May 1998 chlorine monitoring ended so the analyzer could be converted to a continuous hydrogen chloride analyzer. The continuous hydrogen chloride analyzer was installed in July 1998. Many difficulties have been experience in operating the hydrogen chloride analyzer. The data collection is intermittent due to analyzer problems. Other samples for toxic air pollution are performed on a complaint basis. An EPA funded Urban Air Toxics Monitoring Program site was installed at West Valley in October 1999. Samples were collected every 6 days for speciated hydrocarbon analysis and carbon analysis. These samples were analyzed for 19 of the 33 Urban Air Toxics Strategy Target Compounds. At the beginning of 2002 a TSP sampler was installed at the West Valley site to measure heavy metals. That effort is being continued. In January 2003 the air toxics monitoring was moved to the Bountiful monitoring so Urban Air Toxics equipment will be colocated with the PM2.5 speciation equipment. This should give a more complete evaluation of the air mass being monitored. In addition, an Athelometer to measure ambient carbon particles was purchased with EPA funds and located at the Bountiful monitoring site.

### Additional Monitoring

EPA has identified a desire to increase monitoring for non-criteria pollutants. EPA is re-allocating \$6.3 million from existing funds for measuring criteria pollutants to increased monitoring of Air Toxics. As more guidance comes from EPA that information will be used to assess needed changes in air toxics monitoring.

### **Additional Studies**

No additional studies are planned for next year.

### Changes to the Air Toxics Monitoring Network

The Air Toxics Monitoring for HCl ended in July 2003 due to the budget shortfall. In addition 99 % of the data collected has been zero. It there fore appears no additional monitoring is necessary.

### 2.9 EMERGENCY EPISODE MONITORING

One of the responsibilities of the Division is to assure that the public is protected from air pollution concentrations that will cause immediate damage or impact to their health. Section 5.1 of the Utah Air Conservation Regulations establishes emergency response criteria in accordance with Subpart H and Appendix L of 40 CFR 51. Whenever air pollution concentrations meet or exceed the Alert, Warning, or Emergency levels, an Emergency Episode is determined to exist and actions are taken to reduce the emissions of air pollutants. It is the responsibility of the monitoring section to collect the air pollution data used to determine when an Emergency Episode exists. The data collection telemetry system is alarmed and the monitoring staff is alerted whenever the Alert, Warning, or Emergency levels are approached. The monitoring staff has the primary responsibility to notify the director of the Division that an emergency episode exists. This is a critical function that is required by State and federal law. The telemetered stations along the Wasatch Front are included in the Emergency Episode network.

No changes have been identified in the emergency episode monitoring effort.

Section 3.0 Revision 7 Date 8/5/03 Page 1

## 3.0 NETWORK MODIFICATION FORMS

Network modification forms have been prepared for submittal to EPA Region VIII for the Sodar, Grantsville, Moab, South Orem, Washington Terrace, Promontory Point, Washington Blvd, State Street, Beach, Salt Air monitoring sites. In addition Network Modification Forms for discontinuing CO monitoring at Bountiful and Hawthorne.

Section <u>4.0</u> Revision <u>3</u> Date <u>8/25/03</u> Page 1

## 4.0 SUMMARY AND CONCLUSIONS

The conclusion that is drawn from this network review is that the minimum monitoring requirements identified by federal regulation are being met with the existing monitoring network in Utah. The procedures that are being used and the instruments that are being operated meet the standards that have been established by EPA.

The monitoring network provides, with the exceptions noted, the data necessary to meet the needs of the Utah Division of Air Quality.

Section Appendix	<u>: A</u>
Revision	3
Date <u>8/25/</u>	03
Page	1

# APPENDIX A

# **EMISSIONS INVENTORY**

The completed Emissions Inventory for 1996 is included in this appendix. It is still the most recent revision of the Emissions Inventory available.

### APPENDIX B

## POPULATION GROWTH IN UTAH

This table of population growth in Utah shows the areas where additional air monitoring is necessary. Population % Change # Monitoring Stations 2000 Census Since 1990 County, Urban area or City In Area Salt Lake County 898,387  $8(5)^*$ +24%**Utah County** 368,536 +40% 6(9)**Davis County** 238,994 +27% 1 Weber County 196,533 +24% 5 Cache County 91,391 +30% 1 **Uintah County** 25,224 +14%(1) Box Elder County 42,745 +17%1(1) **Tooele County** 40,735 1(1) +53% 90,354 **Washington County** +86% 2(1)Iron County 33,779 +63% (3) Carbon County 20,422 +1%(1) San Juan County 14,413 +14%1 (2) **Duchesne County** 14,371 +14%(1)\*

Source: U.S. Bureau of the Census

<sup>\*( ) \*</sup>Indicates monitoring done in the past.-

# CENSUS 2000 CITY PERCENT POPULATION CHANGE 1990 TO 2000

CITIES > 9,000	1990 CENSUS	2000 CENSUS	PERCENT CHANGE 1990-2000	RANK
Draper city	7,275	25,220	247.50	1
South Jordan city	12,220	29,437	140.9	2
Lehi city	8,475	19,028	124.5	3
Riverton city	11,261	25,011	122.1	4
Syracuse city	4,658	9,398	101.8	5
Spanish Fork city	11,272	20,246	76.6	6
St. George city	28,502	49,663	74.2	7
Pleasant Grove city	13,476	23,468	74.1	8
Tooele city	13,887	22,502	62.0	9
West Jordan city	42,892	68,336	59.3	10
Clinton city	7,945	12,585	58.4	11
Cedar City city	13,443	20,527	52.7	12
Springville city	13,950	20,424	46.4	13
Kaysville city	13,961	20,351	45.8	14
Layton city	41,784	58,474	39.9	15
American Fork city	15,696	21,941	39.8	16
Farmington city	9,028	12,081	33.8	17
Payson city	9,510	12,716	33.7	18
Roy city	24,603	32,885	30.7	19
Logan city	32,762	42,670	30.2	20
North Ogden city	11,668	15,026	28.8	21
Centerville city	11,500	14,585	26.8	22
West Valley City city	86,976	108,896	25.2	23
Orem city	67,561	84,324	24.8	24
Clearfield city	21,435	25,974	21.2	25
Provo city	86,835	105,166	21.1	26
Ogden city	63,909	77,226	18.9	27
South Ogden city	12,105	14,377	18.8	28
Sandy city	75,058	88,418	17.8	29
Salt Lake City city	159,936	181,743	13.6	30
Bountiful city	36,659	41,301	12.7	31
Brigham City city	15,644	17,411	11.3	32
Murray city	31,282	34,024	8.8	33